THE WASHINGTON NEWSLETTER OF THE

# CLANDESTINE AMERICA

ASSASSINATION INFORMATION BUREAU

Jan-Feb 1978

©AIB, Inc.

Vol. 2, No. 1

"This is the Age of Investigation, and every citizen must investigate." — Ed Sanders

### PRELIMINARY REPORT: The FBI Files

Two principal points heve been made by the press about the FBI's JFK assessination file since the Bureau began releasing 98,000 pages of documents on the case aerly in December. First, we were told that the files contained no startlingly new evidence which would overturn the findings of the Warren Report. Second, it was reported that the FBI hed diligently pursued thousands of leads no matter how wild or elusive. J. Edgar Hoover himself, though convinced at en early stage that Lee Harvey Oswald committed the assessination and acted alone, still mainteined reservations about the possibility of a conspiracy. The Bureau kept the essessination an open case, and the newly released files cover a period up to and including December, 1977.

There may be soma truth in the media's eccount. The FBI did serve as tha Warren Commission's chief investigating arm, and in many crucial respects the FBI's initiel investigation of the assassination and the murder of Osweld by Jack Ruby determined the shape of tha Warren Report. Wa should not, therefore, expect to find much evidance in tha FBI files contrary to the lone essassin scenario.

But the contents of the files reveal much ebout the FBI investigation which bolsters the original cherges of the first few generations of Warren Commission critics that the FBI was e principal participant in e massiva cover-up. With few exceptions, the mettars undar Investigation by the FBI wara precisely those that seemed to lead nowhera. There ere, it is true, occasional new pieces of avidance which are tantalizing: a 38 revolver, for axample, was discovered in e paper bag "in the immadiete vicinity of the assessination site"; the FBI, it seems, wes unable to trace this gun, although it had the sariel number. In addition, in the file on Jack Ruby (which alona fills 90 looselaaf binders), thara is e tramandous amount of new information ebout Ruby's associetas in organized crima and his own ties to the Dalles police. This material is now being carefully studied. In certein other areas which have long been of interest to rasearchars, there is much naw evidence whose exact significance is not yet known. These eraas includa the post-assassination investigation of David Farrie in Naw Orlaans end the apparant pradiction of the Delles assassinetion in early Novembar 1963 by Netional States' Rights Perty membar J.A. Miltaar in e secratly taped convarsation with an undercover law anforcement officer.

In overall tarms, the FBI files represent the greatest single expension in the body of available avidence since the Warren Commission's files were opened to researchers at the National Archives. But the charecter of the new "evidence" is special in that it bears mostly on three areas: (1) the defects in the FBI's investigation; (2) the conflict between the Bureau and the Warren Commission over nearly every stage in the investigation, including the question of whether Oswald and Ruby

were FBI informents and the axtant of the FBI's filas on both men prior to the assassination; end (3) on the outright hostility batween Hoover and tha Werran Commission, Hoover and the Dallas authoritias, Hoover end tha Secrat Service, and Hoover and his own top aides. Thesa are mattars which ware first broached rather tantetively in tha Schweikar-Hart report of Juna, 1976, which accused the FBI of withholding important information from the Werran Commission and of not invastigating directly the possibility that Individuals or groups other than Osweld ware involved in the assassination. Those cherges still stand, despite tha nearly unenimous defense of the Warren Report which the establishmant prass continues to meintain. The relaesa of tha FBI files to the public will only fual tha ongoing controversy.

As the Schwaiker-Hert raport first raveelad, Hoovar was convinced that tha Warren Commission, from tha first stage of the investigation, wes deliberately criticizing the work of the FBI in an effort to "emberrass the Bureeu." Tha Director's perception of the Werran Commission as en adversary begen with tha attempt by Chlef Justice Earl Warren to nama es chief counsel his protege, an attorney named Warren Olnay. Through the afforts of Deputy Attorney Ganarel Nicholas Ketzenbach, who emerges in the documents as the principel continued on page 2

#### Speculation and Rumors

As you will notice, this is the first issua of Clandestine America that does not include a "Progress Raport" on the House Investigation. The reason for this is quite simple. As far as we are able to discern there has been no progress. We have heard thet the committee will issue an Intarim report in the coming weeks. Our sources ceution us not to be optimistic about whet this raport will say. We heve heard that major sheke-ups have occured in the Committee staff. On the one hand, sources indicete that the scope of the investigation has been greatly curtailed, while other reports tell of a continuing investigetion in key cities such as New Orleens and Miami.

For the past year the AIB has closely monitored the work of the House Select Committee on Assessinations. In order to continue our afforts in Washington, we need your support. The next issue of this newsletter will feature an indepth anelysis of the recent FBI release, as well as up-to-date coverege of new developments in the House investigation. Pleese spreed the word about the newsletter. Every new subscription helps to alleviete the considerable financial burden that we face. Any and ell contributions are greatly apprecieted.

#### 2 · Clandestine America

continued from page 1

architect of the Johnson Administration cover-up, the appointment of Olney was blocked. But enother document reveals how the Chief Justice's move was thwerted from the inside. Gereld Ford, then a Congressman and member of the Commission, invited FBI Assistant Director Deke DeLoach to his office on December 12, 1963. Ford was "disturbed" about the Olney ploy, end mentioned that Allen Dulles, former CIA Director end elso a Commission member, had protested to Werren at the first Commission meeting. "On the occasion of their second meeting," DeLoech writes, "Ford and Hele Boggs joined with Dulles. Hale Boggs told Werren fletly thet Olney would not be ecceptable end that he (Boggs) would not work on the Commission with Olney. Werren put up e stiff argument but e compromise was mede when the name of Lee Rankin was mentioned. Werren stated he knew Renkin end could work with him."

Ford beceme a frequent informent for DeLoach, who used this chennel to keep the Bureau informed of the Commission's activities. Some documents indicete that the Bureau actually made active use of Ford in getting ecross the FBI's point of view to other commission members. One internel memo from the FBI's Intelligence Division states that "the Commission has been very much impressed" by an article in the New Republic ebout the assassination. The article wes called "Seeds of Doubt" and was published in December 1963. According to other documents, FBI officiels gathered informetion about the left-wing ties of the authors, Staughton Lynd and Jack Minnis. Here, Hoover himself notes, "I think DeLoach should brief Ford re the New Republic article and its authors.

As the Warren Commission began to organize and staff itself, Information such as that provided by Ford beceme more and more useful to Hoover end his aides. The difficulties experienced by the FBI in its deelings with the Werren Commission multiplied. Hoover continued to think thet Warren himself wes leeking stories to the press which were unfevorable to the FBI. One teletype from Dallas deted Merch 14, 1964, refers to e Dallas Times-Herald story conteining information about the suspicious death of a Merine in the Far East in which Oswald was rumored to have been involved. The story quoted "one commission member who esked not to be identified," and Hoover screwls below, "Sounds like Warren."

Many aspects of the cover-up are still obscure. For example, there is the effeir of late January 1964, when Dalles and Texas stete law enforcement officials conducting their own Inquiries told the Werren Commission that Oswald was an FBI informent. This is the subject of many documents only just released and still being enelyzed. But what has emerged most clearly so far is e new picture of the earlier steges of the cover-up-in November and December 1963, during the formation of the Werren Commission end the dissemination of the initial FBI "Summery Report."

The eerliest evidence actuelly comes in a series of memos written by Hoover to his top eides, in most ceses less than en hour apert, on the dey of the assessination. The Director, in these memos, recounts his conversations with Secret Service Director Jemes Rowley and Attorney General Robert Kennedy. To Rowley, Hoover must have disclosed some of his worst feers, for "Mr. Rowley stated he was elso thinking of subversive elements-Mexico end Cuba. I then mentioned the Klen element." To the Attorney General he misleadingly reported thet "we heve a cese on Osweld as he has been involved in the Fair Play for Cuba Committee." Oswald hed, of course, defected to the Soviet Union efter his discharge from the Merines in 1959 and the Bureau had interviewed him after his return to the U.S. By the end of the afternoon, Hoover was saying to Justice Department officiels that Oswald wes the "principal suspect" in the cese end that "he may very likely be the man." The person who was most active in medieting between the

Background on FBI Release

On December 7, 1977, and agein on January 18, 197B, the FBI released mejor portions of its file on the assassination of President Kennedy. The files released were being sought in severel Freedom of Information law suits brought against the FBI by Werren Commission critics. The documents conteined in these flies number some 98,000 pages and are grouped by the FBI into four categories. One pertains to the assassination of President Kennedy, another to the FBI's investigation of Lee Hervey Osweld, e third to the shooting of Osweld by Jack Ruby, end the fourth to the FBI's reletionship with the Werren Commission. The files are eveileble to the public in the reading room of the FBI building during weekday business hours. The F81 cherges 10¢ per pege for copies of documents, bringing the cost of an entire set to neerly \$10,000 dollers.

The release of the documents was touted by the FBI as their lest word on the Kennedy essassination. These files supposedly represent everything there is to be reveeled. In fect, however, there still remein some 10,000 pages of classified information, including pre-essessination files on Lee Hervey Osweld from FBI field offices, as well as many documents pertaining to the mysterious Mexico trip allegedly teken by Oswald in September of 1963. Also missing is the report of Assistant FBI Director J.H. Gale, who was commissioned by J. Edgar Hoover to analyze "investigative deficiencies of the FBI in the Oswald cese." The Schweiker-Hart Subcommittee, which did have access to these files, reports that Gale recommended disciplinery proceedings egainst several FBI officiels. It would be of interest to independent investigators to determine what officials played what roles in relation to Osweld from the time of his defection on.

In addition, the FBI released no inventory sheets on these volumes, meking review much more difficult. While most documents were serialized when filed, a substantiel number were thrown into the files unrecorded. Without indexes, specific documents are neerly impossible to locate. Documents are filed in e rough chronological order, but with no distinction made es to subject matter, except for those broad classifications mentioned previously. Thus, in the Kennedy Assessination file, one will find a leb report on the peper bag found in the Book Depository between e memo from Hoover on how to deel with the Justice Depertment and eletter from e women in Chicago who wents to turn in her son for the crime. The FBI contends that the inventory worksheets that would facilitate wading through the morass of documents contein highly confidential deta, and thus will not be evailable for several more months.

Bureeu end the White House, and leter between both end the newly-formed Warren Commission, was Ketzenbech. A long series of high-level internel memos describes how the Deputy Attorney General, in the deys efter the assassination, kept Hoover and his eides informed ebout a variety of delicete issues through his contacts with FBI Assistent Director Courtney Evans, who was close to Katzenbach's boss, Robert Kennedy.

The documents provide a great many details about Keztenbach's role, especially in fecilitating the writing of the FBI Summary Report of early December end its trensmission to the Warren Commission, urging that the Justice Department simply approve the FBI report and releese it to the public. Katzenbach's position in the compromise worked out by

continued on page 3

#### continued from page 2

November 29, when the Warren Commission was creeted, is not yet entirally cleer. But his view, immediately after the assassination, that e cover-up of the assassination was necessery as a matter of policy, has been known since the Schweiker report, which quoted from a November 25 memo now released in its entirety. "The public must be satisfied that Osweld was the assassin," Katzenbach wrote, "that he did not have confederates who are still at large, and that the evidence was such that he would have been convicted at triel." He continued,

Speculation about Oswaid's motivation ought to be cut off, and we should have some basis for rebutting the thought that this was e communist conspiracy or (as the Iron Curtain press is seying) a right-wing conspiracy to bleme it on the communists. Unfortunetely the facts on Osweld seem ebout too pat-too obvious (Marxist, Cube, Russien wife, etc.). The Dalies police have put out stetements on the Communist conspirecy theory, end it was they who were in cherge when he wes shot and thus silenced . . . The metter has been hendled thus fer with neither dignity nor conviction. Facts heve been mixed with rumor end speculation. We can scercely let the world see us totelly in the image of the Delles police when our president is murdered. I think this objective mey be satisfied by making public es soon as possible a complete and thorough FBI report on Osweld and the essessination. This may run into the difficulty of pointing to inconsistencies between this report end statements by Dallas police officiels. But the reputation of the Buraau is such that it mey do the whole job. The only other step would be the eppointment of e presidentlel commission of unimpeechable personnel to review and examine the evidence and announce its conclusions. This hes both adventages end disadventages, i think it can aweit publication of the FBi report and public raaction to it both here end abroed.

Over the next few deys, the Senete Internal Security Subcommittee begen taking an active interest in the investigation, and there were calls for e broader congressional inquiry. For this, among other reesons, the idee of a presidential commission won out sooner than Ketzenbach expected, but the politics of the cover-up continued to intensify.

At the urging of some of President Johnson's advisors, a Texas Court of Inquiry was announced on November 25th by Stete Attorney Generel Weggoner Carr. Hoover wrote in one memo that Dallas District Attorney Henry Wade wes "hopping mad" when he heard of Cerr's investigation. Ruby had killed Oswald, elimineting the possibility of a complete investigation. Wade hed plenned to indict Ösweld as pert of a communist conspiracy. He did not look forward to any outside investigation into the wey in which the Dellas police had handled the case.

By November 26th, federal officiels had become concerned ebout the scope of the Texes Court of Inquiry. Ketzanbach told Evens that he "hopes to avoid the state going into the question of motive or trying to resolve the Communist engle." Assistent Attorney Generel Herbert Miller was in Texas end Katzenbach was using him to coordinate an effort "to have them restrict their hearing to the proposition of showing merely that Oswaid killed the President, together with any inquiry the state feels necessery es to the activities of local authorities."

Originelly the White House had urged Carr to conduct an investigation. After the formetion of the Warran Commission on November 29th, ell independent investigative functions were teken out of Cerr's hends. Katzenbach informed the FBI of this decision on December 5th and the next day, to placate Carr, he erranged for Cerr end his associete, Leon Jaworski, to see Hoover personally in what was described as a "stend-

continued on page 7

## The Behavior of the Media

It is an encient truth that the mass media have a herd time reporting developments in the JFK assassination case, but we have just had new opportunity to observe it again.

The occasion was the FBI's recent release of 98,000 peges of documents on JFK's deeth, meny of which hed not previously been seen.

As we list below end explein elsewhere in this number, these documents actuelly provide an abundance of importent new information. There is no smoking pistol, but no one hed ever expected such a thing from the FBI, whose JFK cover-up role has aiready been documented by the Senate in the Schweiker-Hert report of 1976. The reel wonder is that the FBI files contain so much.

Yet ell the networks end ell the big deilies and weeklles spoke in prompt choric unison to report that there was nothing new in these pepers, no suggestion of conspriecy, nothing to imply that Osweld fired fewer than all the shots or that Ruby was more than a second lone nut.

This in the teeth of new discoveries showing conclusively:

- That en aggrassive cover-up scheme was imposed at the direction of LBJ's White House, which moved in the early hours of the case to secure control of the investigation and to define Oswald as the lone assassin.
- That Jack Ruby wes linked to the FBI as a "PCI,"
   i.e., a "potentiel criminal informent," with strong ties to organized crime as well as to the Dalies Police Depertment.
- That the FBI took deliberete secrat steps to counter tha aariy Warren Commission critics.
- That Hoover thought the FBI misled the Werren Commission.

To its eternal credit, ABC's "Good Morning America" news show gave representatives of the AIB interviews of about five minutes each on December 8 and January 19, the days following the two FBI releases. But except for these two little sniffs, the big medie turned their news noses as fer away as they could from any scent of a Dailes conspiracy—unless, which was much worse, it was to imply that Osweld was actually under the control of Castro.

This was indeed a favorite media ploy at the time of the December release of 40,000 pages. All three networks and several big papers and megazines hinted darkly that, even though the new documents showed "not the slightest indication of conspiracy" (NBC), there might after all be a slight shedow falling on Castro. This ploy was based on no new avidence but on resurrection of the curious but well-known "Pedro Charles" letters (two letters mailed from Cube implying that Castro was paying and instructing Oswald to kill Kennedy).

When they came to the FBI's attention late in 1963, these letters were quickly recognized by Hoover as "some type of hoex" since they were postmarked efter the assassination end typed on the same typewriter, though purporting to come from different people and places in Cube. The media revivels of this non-story all mentioned the hoax engle, but only in passing, so that the mein result of their bringing up the matter at all was to strengthen the public impression that Castro may heve been metarielly involved in the assassination.

The real Importence of these letters lies rather in their power to make immediately self-evident the fect that some-body was trying to frame Osweld and, through him, Cestro for tha deeth of JFK. Who could have been doing this? In view of subsequent discoveries about the Bay of Pigs connection, ebout the ellience of crime end the CIA and the Cuben exiles,

continued on page 4

## 4 · Clandestine America

continued from page 3

the question is surely a burning one. Yet for all the FBI's vaunted investigetive thoroughness, this is one lead Hoover turned his beck on from the start without the leest hesitation. The letters are hoaxes, he seys, period, no more worrying about the hoexer's identity end game.

Our curiosity about all this only grew greater when we found out from the most recent FBI releases that there were in fect four "Pedro Cherles" letters. Until now only two were thought to exist. Behold the following from Hoover's letter of January 17, 1964, to Warren Commission chief counsel

J. Lee Rankin:
"The FBI hes been furnished four letters written from Cuba indiceting or alleging that the assassination of President Kennedy wes undertaken by Oswald under the direction of a Cuban agent, one Pedro Charles, who reportedly gave Oswald

\$7,000 for this mission."

Hoover then summerizes the contents of these four letters. The first, as noted, is from Pedro himself. "The other three letters," writes Hoover, "purport to be from individuals who have knowledge that Charles conspired with Oswald to kill the President. However, examinations by the FBI Laboratory have shown that all of these communications were actually prepered on the same typewriter and that several of the envelopes used came from the same source. It is, therefore, clear thet this represents some type of hoax, possibly on the pert of some anti-Castro group seeking to discredit the Cuben government."

Now whet we went to know from our friends in the mess media is why they cannot see this Pedro Charles thing at leest as clearly as Hoover saw it. Why do the presumebly independentminded mass media reporters encourage the false and dangerous impression that the least fragment of hard evidence exists to attach Oswald to a Castroite assassination conspiracy?

And why are they so busy telling us there is nothing new in these files that they miss the quite significant fact of the additional letters? Two letters might have been the work of an idle mind. But four, all connected, make a lot more sense, just as Hoover said, as "some anti-Castro group seeking to discredit the Cuban government." The media never even make it to that level of analysis, basic as It is.

The January FBI releasa gave us a fascinating variation on the above method of casting shadows. Once again a significant event was clouded over by a false analysis, this time in connection with one of the few moments of comedy in the assessination drama, the famous scene in which a frantic Marina is seid to have held Oswald a prisoner in the bathroom to keep him

from going out to kill Nixon.

The story of this fateful bethroom struggle is currently being coupled with the older story that Oswald once tried to assassinate General Walker, the famous Dallas rightwinger. The purpose of these stories is to prove that Oswald was a homicidel person. In the Walker case, the police were unable to match the 30.06-cel, bullet dug from Welker's wall with Oswald's 6.5-mm rifle. In the bathroom case the same: they were unable to match Marine's testimony to the realities. First she seid she locked Oswald In the bathroom, but investigation showed the door could be locked only from inside. So Merine changed her story end said she planted her feet on either side of the knob and pulled with all her might to keep the door shut, compleining to Oswald on the other side that she might be endangering her pregnancy. Then it turned out that Nixon was not in Dellas thet dey after all. So she changed her story egain, now saying it was Vice President Johnson whom Osweld wes reging to essassinete.

Werren Commission lewyers recognized Marine's constant prevarication as a problem, but found it necessary in any case to base certein key perts of their case against Oswald on Marina's word (e.g., the question of Oswald's possession of the alleged murder weapon). But how solid is argument based on such a witness's word alone? That is the real story herenot the homicidal charecter of the imagined lone assassin, but the incredibility of Marine as a witness end the uselessness of he uncorroborated testimony.

We ask ourselves what price the glory of this continuing media support for the cover-up. Why should the Carl Stems and Daniel Schorrs, the George Lardners and the Jeremiah O'Learys, the Ford Rowens and the Jack Andersons, not be proud enough to lend their might to the hemmering out of

the truth of this case?

Few of us ere willing-so far-to conclude that the American media are being actively menipulated. Surely they ere still in substance independent and In essentiels free! But always we are driven beck to the question of why the media still try to infuse new credence into the Pedro Cherles hoax, of why they still rehearse the bathroom scene as though Marina were a credible witness, and over all of why they still hide from such strong end numerous signs that something ebout the Dellas events remains to be uncovered.

## The CIA/Media Hearings

Carl Bernstein wrote in the October 20, 1977, issue of Rolling Stone that 400 news reporters have worked with the CIA. The New York Times followed suit with a three-day front-page series on the subject. The Times expose coincided with the start of hearings on the CIA and the media conducted by the Oversight Subcommittee of the House Intelligence Committee chaired by Les Aspin (D-Wisc.). The hearings began during the week between Christmas and New Years, while most of Congress took a breathar, and lasted until mid January.

Numerous witnesses were called, including CIA officials and ex-agents-turned-critics. The hearings focused almost exclusively on "overseas" media operations, but CIA representatives ecknowledged the unfortunete phenomenon of "domestic fellout" in which news storles planted by the CIA In foreign capitals are picked up by American media outlets

and then printed at home as hard news.

The fallout problem is underlined by the CIA's inability to explain why such stories are printed in English-language editions in the first place, such as the Rome Daily American and the Bangkok Post, when most people in a foreign country read newspapers printed in their own lenguage. Perhaps, after

all, Americans are the tergets in such instances.

Ray S. Cline (former CIA Deputy Director for Intelligence who left the Agency in 1969 to become Director of State Department Intelligence) described the American news medie as "the only unfettered espionege agencies in this country. In addition to gethering information end circulating propeganda, the CIA hes utilized its media contacts to provide cover for its agents. Former CIA Director William Colby, however, denied in his testimony before the House Intelligence Committee that the CIA operated covertly in the United States to manipulate the press.

Those who have studied the political assassinations of the sixties will not be surprised at the disclosures regarding the CIA's reletionship with the medie. The CIA made extensive use of its media contacts in attempting to discredit critics of the Warren Report. While the CIA warned its overseas stations not to initiate discussion of the JFK assessination, instructions

continued on page 5

were givan on how to respond when critical views wera presented in tha foreign press. CIA officars wara to "employ propagenda assets to answer and refute tha attacks of critics. Book reviaws and erticlas were mentioned in one CIA cable as "particularly eppropriate." Talk of conspiracy was to be explained as "deliberately generated by Communist propagandists." Most of all, the CIA sought to defend itself against eliegations that Oswald at one time had Agency tles.

Ara we to believe that the CIA dutifully refreined from employing its lucrative "propaganda assets" in the United States? (The list is quite impressive: William Paley of CBS, Henry Luca of Time-Life, Arthur Sulzberger of the New York Times . . . .) How, for axample, does one explain the peculiar inconsistency between the original version of the book raview of Gerrison's A Heritage of Stone which appeared in tha New York Times on December 1, 1970, and the amasculated varsion that was carried in most editions, which com-

pletely reversed the message of the articla?

Tha CIA was Invoivad in manipulating public opinion through the American media around the issue of the Kennedy assassination. Former NBC producer Rafael Abramowitz told reporter Barbara Moskal of at least one instance in which William Colby intervened in an effort to steer CBS producer Les Midgley in a certain diraction on a documentary in progress on the JFK assassination. Colby wented CBS to adopt "Cestro-did-it-on-beheif-of-the-Russians" lina, although no evidence was aveilable to support this theory.

While the recent disciosures regarding the CIA's cozy raistionship with the madia are long in coming, thay ara far from complete. We can hardly expect tha New York Times or CBS to snitch on thamselves, aithough the speciel Christmas serias published by the Times does contain importent information.

The responsa on the pert of both the press end the Congress to the CIA/media "problem" smacks of what intelligence analysts have cellad "modified limited hangout," i.a., concading a little so as not to blow the whole thing. As usual, with regard to intelligence mattars, Congress is pradictably weak. Witnesses are quizzed about "domestic fallout," but wa naver hear about domestic operations.

CIA spokesmen, while admitting that mistakes have been mede in the pest, giva implicit sanction to the CIA's use of tha media by talling us unconvincingly that the relationship between the Agency and the press must be "clarified." Once again it is clear that the American people cannot depend on tha Intelligenca agencias, tha Congress or tha media establishment for a full exposure of tha facts.

#### Recommended Articles

- 1. "The Secret Life of Jack Ruby," by William Scott Malone, New Times, 1/23/78. An important erticle focusing on Ruby's links with organized crime figures involved in the CIA-Syndicate plots against Castro.
- 2. "The CIA and the Banks," by Tad Szulc, Inquiry, 11/21/77; probes the CIA's vast worldwide financial network.
- 3. "Tha CIA's Eiactric Kool-Aid Acid Test," by Tad Szulc, Psychology Today, 11/77; an account of the CIA's LSD experiments based on recantly declassified mind-control documents.
- 4. On December 25, 26, end 27, 1977, the New York Times ran a special series on the CIA and the media. While a good deal of information is presented in this series, the scope of the Times' probe is limited to "overseas" operations.

## 1984: Just a Dose Away?

On Decembar 9, 1977, two days after tha FBi releasad its first 40,000-pege installment of JFK assassination documents, the Washington Star ran an editoriel praising tha FBI's "examplary" investigativa efforts and reaffirming the lone-assassin cover story. The Star chose to ignore the findings of the 1976 Schweiker-Hert Report, which officially confirmed what critics hed maintained all along: that both the FBI and tha CiA distorted end withhald information from the Warran Commission in en affort to conceal the truth about the Dallas assassination from the Amarican paople.

The Star elso took occasion to launch an attack on the Housa Select Committae on Assassinations and the Warren critics in general. With regard to the money allocated for the Congressional inquiry, the Star concluded: "Our own view, to rapeat it here, is that Congress could more usefully spend such

a sum devaloping a pill to neutralize tha peculiar body chemistry of compulsive conspiracy theorists. Their main symptom is an inability-or unwillingness-to grasp the frustrating truth that in many historic apisodes, prosaic or sansational, the role of muddla, confusion, fraakishness can naver ba discounted."

As though anyona following the Star's own muddied, confused and fraakish afforts to report the JFK case could have thought tha contrary. Indaed, there was muddle at Dallas in the planting of the ballistics avidance against the patsy, there was confusion in the suppressing of the medical evidance, there was freakishness in the White Housa's secret campaign to control and manipulate tha investigation. Tha "conspiracy thaorist" ectuelly depends on this, or rether, on tha truth which it embodies, namely, that in the end, in the real world where the best laid plans of mice and men gang aft agiey, the conspiracy will lose.

It is sometimes amusing to bahold the no-conspiracy buff hiding behind coincidanca theories of history, and the AiB is normelly tolerant of our adversaries' bluster, but this business about "a pill to neutrelize" the Warren critics strikes us as a

bit haavy.

As our regular readers know, AIB peopla have been involved in a (for us) major research project into the whole derk continent of mind-control and behavior-mod practices of this country's secret intelligence elites. Wa have been repeatedly staggered at the scopa and diversity of activity in this area. Everybody is in on the mind-control act, the bahavior-mod thing is a stampeda, the political use of psychoactive agents, to all appearances, has long sinca become a routine thing. The Army's G-2, the Navy's ONI, the Air Force's OSI, the CIA and the OSS before it, tha FBI, tha Department of HEW and the Treasury Department-all are known to have been engaged in serious, long-tarm R&D work on the use of drugs of all kinds as wall as all other means of modifying behavior and controlling thought.

So when the Star starts chortling in its beer about "pills to nautralize" our "peculiar body chemistry," frankly we get a littla chill. The Star knows as wall as wa do that such "pills" are in no way mere fantasies. So is the Star really inviting somebody, in the words of mobstar Carlos Marcello, this stone out of my shoa"? And to do it with "a pill"?

Well, if it must fall to somebody to call for drug warfara against the critics, the Star might as well be tha one. On tha sama day it ran this editorial, tha Star ren two "news analysis" stories covering the FBI release. Conspicuously absent from both stories was the least mention of the documents showing the great favors performed for the FBI by the Star's aca reporter Jeremiah O'Leery during the weekend of Kennedy's continued on page 8

## **Assassination Update**

The Secret Service "Releases" JFK Documents

The FBI is not the only agency to release its file on the JFK assassinetion. Recently, in response to e Freedom of Information request from UPI, the Secret Service declessified ebout 800 pages from its Investigetion. AIB has obtained a set of these documents, which turn out to be virtuelly identicel to files released by the Secret Service over two yeers ago.

The initial UPI news story on the Secret Service release steted that the files concentrated on possible Cuban, Soviet, and Chinese involvement in the essessination, no evidence for which was ever found. The UPI account omitted any mention of the numerous documents deeling with Secret Service investigations of anti-Castro exiles in Floride prior to JFK's visit to Miemi in November, 1963, as well as similar investigations in Chicego during the same month.

Very few of the documents contain new information relevent to the assassination. One document, however, discloses the nemes of e number of Treesury Depertment agents who assisted in the search of the Texes School Book Depository after the essassination. Another importent reveletion concerns the existence of e previously unknown set of photographs taken of the presidantial limousine during the shooting. But the most significant aspect of the Secret Service releese is that a number of highly relevent documents are still being withhald.

#### Judge Sirica Rules on Withheld CIA Documents

In December 1977, Judge John Sirlca ruled that the CIA must meke eveileble its withheld files on the JFK assassination for the judge's *in camera* Inspection. Sirice will then decide whether these documents ere improperly clessified. Meny of these files deel with the trip to Mexico City ellegedly teken by Lee Harvey Oswald less then two months before the assessinction.

Sirica's ruling comes as pert of a suit brought by Weshington attorney Bernerd Fensterweld, whose original Freedom of Information request resulted in the release of hundreds of pages of the CIA's JFK file. Fensterweld thinks the documents still being withheld might tell us conclusively whether Osweld ectuelly went to Mexico City. They mey also reveel why the CIA's clandestine cameres outside the Soviet end Cuban embassies in Mexico City photogrephed e men who wes obviously not Oswald but whom the CIA hed epperently identified, before the assassination, es e "Lee Henry Osweld". As we go to press, the CIA is reeching a final decision on whether to eppeal the decision by Judge Sirica.

#### New Developments in the Malcolm X Case

On December 7, 1977, Thomas Hagan, a confessed assassin of black leader Melcolm X, filed en affidevit in New York State Supreme Court asserting the innocence of the two men convicted with him in their 1966 murder triel.

Hagan was one of et leest five men perticipeting in the shooting thet took plece in the Audubon Bellroom on Februery 21, 1985. He insisted during the trial thet Normen 3X Butler end Thomas 15X Johnson were not his co-conspirators, but ell three were nonetheless convicted end sentenced to life imprisonment. Hegan, however, has now nemed his actual eccomplices, something he had previously refused to do. The names given were Brother Lee, Brother Ben, Willie X, and

Willbour, none of whom were further identified.

Hagan also stated that the plot to kill Melcolm was hetched within the Black Muslim movement from which Melcolm had split in 1964. At that time, the Black Muslims and Melcolm's Organization of Afro-American Unity were both targeted for surveillance by intelligence agencies and infiltrated by government agents. Gene Roberts, one of Melcolm's most trusted aides, was an agent for the Bureau of Special Services (BOSS) of the New York City Police Department. Roberts administered mouth-to-mouth resuscitation to the dying black leader after he was shot. Whether the police played any role in setting up Malcolm or instigating the conspiracy against him is yet to be seen.

Norman 3X Butler and Thomas 15X Johnson have thus fer spent twelve yeers in prison for this crime they did not commit.

## Links Between JFK Documents and the Rosenberg Case

With the June 1976 release of FBI documents relating to tha Rosenberg "atom spy" case, it becema obvious that Judga Irving Kaufman, who hed presided over the trial, was not the impartial jurist he pretended to be. The 30,000-page first installmant of tha FBI documents revealed, according to Harvard lew professor Vern Countryman, out of court contacts between Kaufman, the prosecutors, and the FBI; continuing interference in the case eftar it left Kaufman's jurisdiction; and attempts to stifle criticism of the case. These documents have since become known as tha "Kaufmen Papars." The recent release of a second betch of FBI documants relating to the Rosenberg case further shows the continuing sage of Judge Kaufman's (now Chief Judge of the Second Circuit Court of Appeels) Improprieties.

Apperently, Judge Kaufman's reletionship with the FBI extended beyond the Rosanberg case end into the John Kennedy assassinetion investigation, eccording to an FBI memo from Carthe DeLoach to John Mohr. The document was dated November 25, 1963, four deys before the Warren Commission was eppointed. At that time there were rumblings in both houses of Congress about starting an investigation into the essassination. The FBI disapproved of such action, faeling that it alone should do the investigating.

The document refers to a discussion Judge Keufman hed with Assistent Director Malone in New York. It says: "Judge Kaufman referred to Senator Dodd end said he understands that the Senetor feels there is 'more then meets the eye' in the metter of Jack Ruby killing Oswald.

"The Judge seid he understood thet Dodd intends to heve someone look into the whole matter. Judge Kaufmen also seid he understood that the President had sent for Dodd or Dodd was to see the President soon concerning this metter."

The memo concludes by noting, "... Judge Kaufmen wented the FBI to know of the ebove for whatever significance it might heve."

For more information about the Rosenberg case and Judge Kaufman, contact the National Committee to Reopen the Rosenberg Case, Rm. 606, 250 W. 57th St., New York, N.Y. 10019.

#### Clandestine America . 7

continued from page 3

up" meeting. "The Diractor commended Carr for his activity in youth movements end spoke briefly concerning juvanile criminelity end the fect that parents should be held rasponsibla for the crimas of their offsprings," according to a December 6 DeLoach mamo. "The Director gave as an example of a bad environment tha case of Lee Hervey Oswald. Cerr agreed."

The three-cornered stend-off batween Warran Commission, the FBI and the Secret Sarvica ovar the eutopsy photos and X-rays is illuminated to some extent by the recently

ralaased documents.

A Novambar 26th mamo from Genarel Invastigativa Division chiaf Alex Rosen to Hoovar's no. 3 men Alan Balmont provides the earliest referanca to the photos and X-reys taken et Bathesda the night of Novamber 22nd. 'The Secret Servica has advised our Beltimore office that the photographs of the autopsy and X-reys of the Presidant's body would be aveilable to us through Secret Service Headquerters, Weshington, D.C. Undar tha heeding "Action Recommended," Rosen then wrote. "It is not recommended that we request these photographs and X-reys through the Secret Service Headquerters et this time as it does not eppeer we shell have a need for this meterial. In the event such a need develops in the futura, this materiel will be readily aveilable at Secret Ser-

vica Headquarters.

Later, when Werren Commission counsel Renkin began to Inquire about tha eutopsy report from Bethesde, which was then still unavailable, he was told by tha FBI that "tha family of tha President had requested tha raport from tha U.S. Nevel Hospitel at Bathesda be kapt as confidentiel es possibla and for this reeson the Bureau did not obtein the medicel report for inclusion in the investigative raport prepared by the Bureau. He was elso told that this report wes in tha possession of the Secret Servica. Rankin stetad that it would not be necessery to do enything on this end that in the evant Sacrat Sarvica dld not supply tha medical report with material to ba furnished by Secret Service, the Commission would request the medical report from Secret Service." It does not eppeer that the raquest Rankin speeks of was ever mada, if, es saems likaly, tha phrasa "meterial to be furnished by Secret Service" rafers to the photos and X-reys. A week later, Renkin, still without the autopsy raport itself (lat elone the photos end X-rays), wes still saaking Information which could rafuta tha published story that doctors in Dellas who exemined President Kennedy had described the throat wound as a wound of entry. Hoover then epproves the obtaining of a copy of the 'autopsy report" (tha photos end X-reys are now not even refarred to) by the Bureau and the Commission, but the Director expresses his misgivings in a hendwritten comment: "If the Warren Commission is going to re-run down every wild newspeper story it will nevar finish.

Some of the most interasting press eccounts of the newly released documents have come under the by-line of Jerry O'Leary of the Washington Star. One such articla is based on e November 27, 1963 memo written by FBI official C.L. McGowen, which concerns the FBI's overell investigation in the case of Ruby's shooting Oswald. The memo was based on en eerly heedquarters review of the Dellas Ruby Investigation, and givas a list of 25 points to be followed, including interrogetion of Dallas Police Chief Jesse Curry, Captain Will Fritz (who had not yet been interviewed), end many other police officiels. After pointing out that the case was considered a 'possible civil rights violetion" against Osweld, McGowen "one of the prime considerations is whether continued. Oswald was set up by the Police Department beering in mind thet Osweld would be regarded by the polica as a 'cop killer'. The investigation cannot be conducted on the essumption thet Osweld's deeth was just the result of e breekdown in

security procedures."

The obvious significance of this memo wes ceptured in the headline of the O'Leary story: "FBI Probed Delias Police In Osweld Sleying." Whet is being suggested by at least ona FBI official here is that the theory thet organized crime figures ordered Ruby to silance Oswald (a thaory which is now more feshionabla than ever) is essentially incorract. Rethar, according to this view originating from within the FBI, because Osweld had (supposadly) killad Officer Tippit, tha slein cop's comrades evenged his death by enlisting Ruby (e local fixer with hundreds of contacts in the police department) es a fixer. The theory unfortunetely does not axplain whet motiveted Ruby to taka tha assignment. Perheps more importantly, the memo ignores the possibility of en alternativa motive for the police to hire Ruby for the job: suppose they hed knowledge that some of thair own men wera involved in the assessination and thet Osweld knew thet.

Tha FBI files do not contain any evidence to substentieta this theory. The Bureau did, however, seem to take McGowen's views on the Ruby cese seriously. Orders from Hoover to Dallas on November 30th, for exemple, request "eny indication that eny person conspired with Ruby or thet eny police officer or other person having color of lew conspired with Ruby or willfully permitted the shooting . . .". But the connections between Ruby and the Delles polica remain largely unexplored. One teletype, dated November 30th, begins "Re possibla association between Jesse Curry, Chief of Polica, Dallas, Texes, and Ruby." The remeinder of tha two-pege document

has been withheld.

Much can be learned from Hoovar's hendwritten comments on tha memos written by his eidas, the newspepar articlas they clipped, the leboretory reports thay commissioned, end tha teletypes that want back end forth between headquarters end tha various field offices. Frequently, thase comments express criticisms by the Director of the wey in which the investigation was being hendled, in a way which somatimes suggests an ettempt to get himself on record es edvocating e more complete disclosure of information to the Werran Commission, but which consistently reflects a concarn over the potantial

emberressment of the Bureau.

In Septamber 1964, whan the Werren Report was relaased, Hoovar's top eides reviewed it end wrote their comments. Alen Belmont noted that "in discussing the shooting of Dellas police officer J.D. Tippit, the Commission stetes that certain witnesses to this shooting were interviewed by the FBI 'two months efter the shooting'. It is noted tha shooting of tha Dalles police officer by Osweld wes completely e locel metter within the jurisdiction of the Delles Police Depertment and the Buraeu did not ettempt to conduct eny investigation concerning this shooting." At this point Hoover writes: "Another instence of our unduly restrictive policy"-a phrase which he repeats verbatim when commenting on the FBI Laboretory's tentetive identification of the essassination rifle as the "probabla" weapon which had fired the bullet recovered from Gen. Edwin Walker's well in April, 1963. In enother pert of the seme memo, Belmont returns to the Tippit shooting, writing thet, while FBI experts couldn't definitely link any of the bullats recovered from Tippit to Osweld's revolver, en outside fireerms expert hired by the Warren Commission had menaged to do so et leest in the case of one of the four bullets. Beneath this Hoover scribbles, "Another 'dodge' by us.

Sometimes Hoover's judiciousness is astounding, as in the case of his response to a request from the Werren Commission in February 1964 to furnish a recording of every public eppeerance of Merk Lene end Merguerite Oswald. In a Februery 26th Intelligence Division memo, the Commission request is described as "extremely broad end if literelly interpreted could pose a serious invastigative burden on us continued on page 8

#### 8 · Clandestine America

continued from page 5

murder. What happened makes a nice story, meaningful at several levels.

On the morning after the assassination, Dallas Polica Chief Jesse Curry told newsmen that the FBI had interviewed Oswald befora the assassination and had kept him under surveillance but had not notified the Dallas police.

The FBI was peeved at Curry's "stupid" remarks. According to en FBI memo of November 23, 1963, fired off from Deke DeLoech to John Mohr, Curry was "usually very cooperative, a very good friend of the FBI," but in this instance "did not think very fest and obviously made the above statements without giving thought to the repercussions." (Note

thet this does not deny the charge.)

The FBI moved et once to have Curry retract his stetement. It called in one of its trusted "sources," the Star's O'Leary, to "straighten out this metter." After interviewing Curry, O'Leary was "preparing an article" based on Curry's retraction.

There wes more Starshine in the same issue. As if written to a set of instructions—or is it only mind ruts?—each article ended with a reference to the Lincoln essessination, the point being that more than one hundred years later, people are still claiming conspirecy in the death of Lincoln, and undoubtedly one hundred years from now (assuming differences of opinion are still tolerated) there will be those harping about the

JFK murder.

What is the Star trying to tell us here? On the one hend, the Star tries to remain faithful to the lone-assassin ideology, while also peppering its analysis with hints that if, by chance, there was a conspiracy, then Castro should be fingered. To top it off, they drag Lincoln into this thing. Thus, the Star leaves us with three possible alternatives to choose from: (1) Oswald' still pulled it off by himself, (2) but, just in case he didn't, Castro was somehow invovled, (3) so let's forget the entire sordid affair, since we'll never know anyway, just like we'll never know about Honest Abe.

Herein lies the essential paranoie of the no-conspiracy buffs. Rather than confront tha ambiguity in their own anelysis, the Star lashes out and threatens those who prefer not to avoid the obvious—that there was a conspiracy in Delles, and the government of tha United States greatly fears

a full exposure of the facts.

Analysing the evidence, eccording to the Star, is a fruitless, wasteful pursuit. The contradictions do not deriva from the evidence, per se; rather, the physiology of the body politic is the root of the problem. Political dissent is relegated to a

nervous disease, to "symptoms." And if some people (80% of us, according to most recent polls) still cannot rid themselves of the conspiracy damon, then they should be drugged into seeing it the wey the no-conspiracy buffs want. Well done, Washington Star.

—M.L. & C.O.

continued from page 7

One of the most significant aspects of tha FBI cover-up is the persistent concaalment of Ruby's relationship to the FBI. It is now known that in 1959 (during a period when he mede several trips to Cuba) Ruby was a "Potentiel Criminal Informant" of tha Dallas FBI Office. He was contacted regarding routine investigations because of his position as a club owner. According to a recent story in New Times magazina, however, Ruby had a safa deposit box in Dallas in which he may have kept surreptitious tape recording equipment during this period. He opened the box just before each of his contacts with his FBI control agent. After the assassination the box was found empty. The last time it was opened—by an unknown person—was more than e yaar earlier.

Hoover recognized that in their communications with the Warren Commission, his aides were not being entirely forthcoming on the question of the Bureau's files on Jack Ruby. Rankin wanted to have the FBI headquarters files on Ruby, and Hoover notes, "here egain why didn't we give commission all in first instance? I am becoming more and more concerned

about our failure to properly hendle this mattar."

Responses to the Warren Commission came in the form of deniels that any information in the FBI's "Dallas files" was still being withheld from the Commission, and there were comments in internal memos that thare axisted no single fila on Jack Ruby. Commission counsel Rankin wrote beck on March 3, 1964 requesting "copias of all copies of records of intarviews of other persons, et all places including Dallas, et any time, wherein Ruby Is mentioned. Thase raquests of course do not Includa any material obteined after November 23, 1963." (our emphesis) On his copy of this letter, Hoover scrawled, "I cen't understand why we give narrow interpretations to Commission's requests." Cleerly, Hoover recognized that the Commission wished to heve all material concerning Jack Ruby in the possession of the FBI in Delles, Washington, or elsewhere. But tha FBI never yielded any more information about their relationship to Ruby to the Commission.

—J.K.

CLANDESTINE AMERICA-Copyright ©1978.

AIB Washington Editors—Jeff Goldberg, Jim Kostmen, Martin Lee, Carl Oglesby. AIB Contributing Editors—Bob Katz, Fred Webre, David Williams, Harvey Yazijien. AIB Research Associates—Jeff Cohan, Jeff Gottlieb, David Joyce. Typesetting/Layout—Art for People, Johanna Vogelsang. AIB Advisory Board—David Dellinger, Allen Ginsberg, Tom Heyden, Murray Kempton, Norman Mailer, Jack Newfield, Philip Nobile, K. Barton Osborn, Mercus Raskin, Peter Dele Scott. AIB, Inc., a non-profit corporation, publishes Clandestine America bi-monthly. Submissions for publication are welcome, but we regret that we are unable to offer compensation; please include a stamped, self-return envelope. By-lined articles ere a product of the authors only, and do not necessarily reflect the views of all sponsors, advisors, or directors of the AIB. All unattributed articles are a collaborative effort and and product of the AIB editorial staff. Address all editorial/subscription correspondence to: 1322 18th St. NW \$21/Washington, DC 20036/(202) 857-0017. Subscription rates: \$6/year, Individuals; \$9/year, libraries and institutions; \$9/year, all other foreign airmail. Single copies end back issues are \$1.50 each, including postage. Reproduction without written permission is forbidden.



#### Assassination Information Eureau

322 18th St. NW, Wash., D.C. 20036 (202) 857-0017

Harold Weisberg Route 12 Old Receiver Road Frederick Maryland 21701

